

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
3 July 2003 (03.07.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/053480 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61L 12/08**, 12/14
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/US02/39523**
- (22) International Filing Date:  
10 December 2002 (10.12.2002)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
60/343,086 20 December 2001 (20.12.2001) US
- (71) Applicant: **BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED**  
[US/US]; One Bausch & Lomb Place, Rochester, NY  
14604-2701 (US).
- (72) Inventors: **HU, Zhenze**; 28 Wenham Lane, Pittsford, NY  
14534 (US). **LEVER, Andrea**; 14 Fenimore Drive, Pitts-  
ford, NY 14534 (US). **SIMPSON, Lisa, C.**; 73 Raton Av-  
enue, Rochester, NY 14626 (US).
- (74) Agents: **VACCA, Rita, D. et al.**; Bausch & Lomb In-  
corporated, One Bausch & Lomb Place, Rochester, NY  
14604-2701 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,  
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM,  
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK,  
LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX,  
MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ,  
TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): European patent (AT, BE,  
BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT,  
LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI, SK, TR).
- Published:  
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-  
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-  
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*



**WO 03/053480 A1**

(54) Title: COMPOSITION FOR TREATING CONTACT LENSES IN THE EYE

(57) Abstract: A method of preventing and/or reducing deposition of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn on the eye involves dispensing in the eye an ophthalmically compatible composition including tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent or reduce protein denaturation. The compositions preferably include a demulcent, and may include conventional eye drop components such as a preservative, a buffering agent, a chelating agent, an osmolality adjusting agent, and/or a surfactant.

## COMPOSITION FOR TREATING CONTACT LENSES IN THE EYE

Priority is hereby claimed in the present nonprovisional application to Provisional Application Serial Number 60/343,086 filed December 20, 2001, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4).

### Field of the Invention:

This invention relates to compositions and methods for treating contact lenses, especially while the contact lens is worn in the eye. The compositions prevent protein denaturation in the eye, thus preventing denatured proteins from accumulating on the contact lens surface, and/or reduces the amount of denatured protein, thus reducing the amount of denatured protein on the contact lens surface.

### Background of the Invention:

In the normal course of wearing contact lenses, tear film and debris composed of proteinaceous, oily, sebaceous and related organic matter have a tendency to deposit and build up on lens surfaces. As part of the routine care regimen, contact lenses must be cleaned to remove these tear film deposits and

debris. If these deposits are not properly removed, both the wettability and optical clarity of the lenses are substantially reduced causing discomfort for the wearer.

Conventionally, the cleaning of contact lenses is accomplished with one or both of two general classes of cleaners. Surfactant cleaners, generally known as "daily cleaners" because of their recommended daily use, are effective for the removal of most carbohydrate and lipid derived matter. For this daily cleaning regimen, the contact lens is removed from the eye and treated with the surfactant cleaner. However, these cleaners are not as effective for the removal of proteinaceous matter such as lysozyme. Typically, proteolytic enzymes derived from plant, animal, and microbial sources are used to remove the proteinaceous deposits. These enzymatic cleaners are typically recommended for weekly use and are conventionally employed by dissolving enzyme tablets or liquid enzyme formulations in suitable aqueous solutions, where the contact lens is soaked in the solution.

Proteinaceous matter deposited on a contact lens surface mainly includes proteins native to the eye, such as lysozyme, albumin and mucin. One of the reasons proteinaceous matter deposited on a contact lens is more difficult to remove is that the proteins typically denature once they accumulate on the contact lens surface; the denaturation allows a greater hydrophobic interaction

with the hydrophilic contact lens surface. In other words, denatured proteins are more difficult to remove from a contact lens surface than native proteins. Additionally, whereas proteins native to the eye typically do not irritate the eye, denatured proteins on a contact lens surface tend to reduce comfort.

The present invention recognizes that it would be advantageous to prevent or reduce build-up of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn by the contact lens wearer. The contact lens would be more comfortable to wear for a longer period of time. Additionally, when the contact lens is ultimately removed from the eye, the lens would be easier to clean, i.e., easier to remove any protein deposited on the lens surface.

Various solutions for dispensing directly in the eye while a contact lens is worn are known. As a first example, solutions commonly referred to as "rewetting drops" are used to enhance wettability of the lens surface without removing the lens from the eye, for example, by forming a hydrophilic film on a lens surface. In other words, these rewetting drops facilitate formation of a film over the contact lens. In some cases, a coating is formed for which proteins have little affinity and provides a prophylactic effect. An example, U.S. Patent No. 5,209,865 (Winterton et al.) discloses a composition including particular classes of poloxamine and poloxamer surfactants that forms a hydrophilic film on the lens surface for which proteins and lipids have little affinity.

As another example, U.S. Patent No. 6,037,328 (Hu et al.) discloses compositions suitable for application to the eye of a contact lens wearer, comprising an ethoxylated glucose derivative, tyloxapol, and a poloxamer or polyoxamine surfactant. These compositions are described as useful for both cleaning and rewetting the lens surface.

As yet another example, U.S. Patent No. 6,096,138 (Heiler et al.) discloses compositions including a moderately charged polyquaternium polymer that may be used as in-the-eye or out-of-eye inhibitors of proteinaceous deposits on hydrophilic contact lenses, where the polyquaternium polymer inhibits the deposition of protein on contact lenses.

Additionally, U.S. Patent No. 6,274,133 (Hu et al.) discloses a composition for treating contact lenses while worn in the eye and including a cationic cellulose polymer that binds to the lens and prevents the accumulation of lipids, proteins and other materials on the lens. The compositions are especially useful for silicone hydrogel contact lenses intended for extended wear, i.e., contact lenses left in the eye overnight and especially for an extended period of at least seven days.

U.S. Patent No. 5,422,073 (Mowrey-McKee et al.) discloses compositions for disinfecting contact lens containing tromethamine in an amount of 0.6 to 2 weight percent, where tromethamine has a synergistic

microbicidal effect when employed with other antimicrobial agents such as polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB).

Summary of the Invention:

According to a first embodiment, this invention provides a method of preventing deposition of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn on the eye. The method comprises dispensing in the eye an aqueous composition that comprises tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent denaturation of proteins in the eye. Accordingly, denatured proteins, which are more difficult to remove once bound to a contact lens surface, are not present to bind to the contact lens surface.

According to a second embodiment, this invention provides a method of reducing deposition of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn on the eye. This method comprises dispensing in the eye an aqueous composition that comprises tromethamine in an amount effective to reduce the amount of denatured protein accumulated on the contact lens. Additionally, even if denatured proteins have already accumulated on the contact lens surface, the composition may convert partially denatured protein back to its native state, thus rendering it easier to remove from the contact lens surface.

According to other embodiments, the invention provides a method that comprises dispensing an aqueous composition in the eye of a contact

lens wearer while a contact lens is worn on the eye, where the composition comprises tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent or reduce protein denaturation on the contact lens, and a demulcent. The demulcent may

include a non-polymeric demulcent, and/or a polymeric demulcent. The composition may further include a preservative, a buffering agent, a chelating agent, an osmolality adjusting agent, and/or a surfactant.

Detailed Description of the Invention:

The present invention may be used with all contact lenses such as conventional hard, soft, rigid and soft gas permeable, and silicone (including both hydrogel and non-hydrogel) lenses, but is preferably employed with soft hydrogel lenses. Such lenses are commonly prepared from hydrophilic monomers such as 2-hydroxyethyl(meth)acrylate, N-vinylpyrrolidone, glycerol(meth)acrylate, and (meth)acrylic acid. In the case of silicone hydrogel lenses, a silicone-containing monomer is copolymerized with at least one hydrophilic monomer. Such lenses absorb significant amounts of water, typically from 10 to 80 percent and more typically 20 to 70 percent water by weight. The compositions and methods of this invention are especially useful for silicone hydrogel contact lenses, since such contact lenses are specifically designed for extended wearing times, for example,

one week or up to thirty days without being removed from the wearer's eye. In other words, the compositions prevent build-up of denatured proteins on the contact lens surface, and reduce the amount of denatured proteins already bound to the lens surface, thus facilitating longer wear regimens of contact lenses without removing the lens for cleaning.

The compositions employed in this invention are aqueous solutions. The compositions include, as an essential component, 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol, also known by the names tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, tromethamine and TRIS. This component is known as a buffer for contact lens solutions and is commercially available. In the present solutions, tromethamine is employed in amount effective to prevent or reduce denaturation of proteins, preferably at least 0.05 weight percent, more preferably 0.05 to 1 weight percent, and most preferably 0.1 to 0.5 weight percent. Tromethamine is commercially available, for example under the tradename Tris Amino® (Angus Chemical Company, Northbrook, Illinois).

In addition to water and tromethamine, according to preferred embodiments the subject compositions include at least one demulcent. As used herein the term demulcent is intended to mean an agent, usually a water-soluble polymer, which protects and lubricates mucous membrane surfaces of the eye and relieves dryness and irritation. Within this meaning,



the terms "humectant" and "wetting agent" are also commonly used to describe these materials.

A first class of suitable demulcents are non-polymeric demulcents. Examples include glycerin, propylene glycol, and other non-polymeric diols and glycols.

A second class of demulcents are polymer demulcents. Examples include: polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), cellulose derivatives and polyethylene glycol. Cellulose derivatives and PVA may be used to also increase viscosity of the composition, and offer this advantage if desired. Specific cellulose derivatives include: hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, carboxymethyl cellulose, methyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, and cationic cellulose derivatives. As disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,274,133, cationic cellulosic polymers also help prevent accumulation of lipids and proteins on hydrophilic lens surfaces. Examples of such polymers include commercially available water soluble polymers available under the CTFA (Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association) designation Polyquaternium-10, including the cationic cellulosic polymers available under the tradename UCARE® Polymer (Amerchol Corp., Edison, New Jersey). Generally, these cationic cellulose polymers contain quaternized N,N-dimethyl amino groups along the cellulosic polymer chain.

The demulcents used in the present invention are used in an effective demulcifying amount, i.e., an amount effective to sufficiently lubricate mucous membrane surfaces and to relieve dryness and irritation and/or an amount effective to wet a contact lens surface. The specific quantities of demulcents used in the present invention will vary depending upon the application. However, the demulcents will typically be included in an amount from about 0.01 to about 5 weight percent to preferably from about 0.1 to about 2 weight percent. Also, generally, the non-polymeric demulcents will be used in higher amounts than the polymeric demulcents. When the compositions include both non-polymeric and polymeric demulcents, the non-polymeric demulcents are preferably included in an amount from about 0.1 to about 5 weight percent, and the polymeric demulcents are preferably included in an amount from about 0.01 to about 2 weight percent.

Additionally, the compositions may also contain various other components including, but not limited to preservative agents, chelating and/or sequestering agents, osmolality adjusting agents, and surfactants.

The composition may include at least one preservative agent. As used herein, the term preservative agent is intended to mean a non-oxidative antimicrobial agent which derives its antimicrobial activity through a chemical or physicochemical interaction with organisms, employed in an amount effective to prevent microbial growth during storage of the composition in

case the composition is contacted with microbes during storage. Additionally, since the compositions are instilled directly in the eye while a contact lens is worn, the antimicrobial agent needs to be an ophthalmically acceptable antimicrobial agent.

Suitable antimicrobial agents include quaternary ammonium salts, which do not include significant hydrophobic portions, e.g. alkyl chains comprising more than six carbon atoms. Examples of suitable quaternary ammonium salts for use in the present invention include poly[(dimethyliminio)-2-butene-1,4-diyl chloride] and [4-tris(2-hydroxyethyl)ammonio]-2-butenyl-w-[tris(2-hydroxyethyl)ammonio] dichloride (chemical registry no. 75345-27-6) generally available as Polyquaternium 1 (ONYX Scientific Limited, Sunderland, United Kingdom); biguanides and their salts, such as alexidine and polyhexamethylene biguanides such as PHMB available under the tradename Cosmocil™ CQ (ICI Americas, Inc., Wilmington DE); benzalkonium chloride (BAK); and sorbic acid.

It is noted that it is not necessary for the compositions to include the preservative agent. In other words, the composition may be "preservative-free". In such a case, the composition should be packaged and stored in a container that is designed to prevent microbial contamination of its contents. Containers so designed are commonly referred to as "preservative-free containers", including single-dose containers.

Since the subject compositions are designed for in-eye use, it is not necessary that an antimicrobial agent be present in an amount effective for disinfecting a contact lens, as in conventional lens soaking and disinfecting solutions. However, if it is desired that the subject compositions have the dual purpose of an in-eye drop and a lens soaking solution, then an antimicrobial agent would need to be present in a disinfecting amount. Preferably, a disinfecting amount is that which will reduce the microbial burden by a certain number of log orders within a certain period of time, depending on the particular microorganism involved. Most preferably, a disinfecting amount is an amount which will eliminate the microbial burden on a contact lens when used in regimen for the recommended soaking time (FDA Chemical Disinfection Efficacy Test - July, 1985 Contact Lens Solution Draft Guidelines).

As mentioned, the subject composition may include at least one chelating agent, also referred to as a sequestering agent, especially when the composition includes a preservative agent. Chelating agents bind heavy metal ions, which might otherwise react with the lens and/or protein deposits and collect on the lens. Chelating agents are well known in the art, and examples of preferred chelating agents include ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and its salts, especially disodium EDTA. Such agents are

normally employed in amounts from about 0.01 to about 2.0 weight percent, more preferably from about 0.01 to about 0.3 weight percent. Other suitable sequestering agents include gluconic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid and their salts, e.g. sodium salts.

The subject composition may be designed for a variety of osmolalities, but it is preferred that the composition is iso-osmol with respect to eye fluids. Specifically, it is preferred that the composition has an osmotic value of less than about 350 mOsm/kg, more preferably from about 175 to about 330 mOsm/kg, and most preferably from about 280 to about 320 mOsm/Kg. At least one osmolality adjusting agent may be employed in the composition to obtain the desired final osmolality. Examples of suitable osmolality adjusting agents include, but are not limited to, sodium and potassium chloride, monosaccharides such as dextrose, calcium and magnesium chloride, and low molecular weight polyols such as glycerin and propylene glycol. Typically, these osmolality adjusting agents are used individually in amounts ranging from about 0.01 to 5 weight percent and preferably, from about 0.1 to about 2 weight percent.

The subject composition has an ophthalmically compatible pH, which generally will range between about 6 to about 8, and more preferably between 6.5 to 7.8, and most preferably about 7 to 7.5. Conventional buffers may be employed to obtain the desired pH value. As mentioned,

tromethamine is known as a buffer for contact lens treating compositions. However, the subject compositions may include a supplemental buffering agent. In other words, the composition may include a "mixed buffer" of tromethamine and one or more supplemental buffer agents. Suitable buffers include for example borate buffers based on boric acid and/or sodium borate, phosphate buffers based on  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ ,  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  and/or  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , a citrate buffer based on potassium citrate and/or citric acid, sodium bicarbonate, and combinations thereof. Generally, buffers will be used in amounts ranging from about 0.05 to 2.5 weight percent, and preferably, from 0.1 to 1.5 weight percent.

It will be understood that some components of the present composition possess more than one functional attribute. For example, as mentioned, tromethamine provides the effect of preventing protein denaturation, but also contributes a buffering effect. Cellulose derivatives are suitable polymeric demulcents, but are also referred to as "viscosity increasing agents" to increase viscosity of the composition if desired. Glycerin is a suitable non-polymeric demulcent but may also contribute to adjusting tonicity.

The composition of the present invention may include at least one ophthalmically acceptable surfactant, which may be cationic, anionic, nonionic or amphoteric. Preferred surfactants are amphoteric or nonionic

surfactants. The surfactant should be soluble in the aqueous solution and non-irritating to eye tissues.

Many nonionic surfactants comprise one or more chains or polymeric components having oxyalkylene (-O-R-) repeats units wherein R has 2 to 6 carbon atoms. Representative non-ionic surfactants comprise block polymers of two or more different kinds of oxyalkylene repeat units, which ratio of different repeat units determines the HLB of the surfactant. For example, poloxamers are polyoxyethylene, polyoxypropylene block polymers and available under the tradename Pluronic<sup>TM</sup> (BASF Wyandotte Corp., Wyandotte, Michigan). Poloxamines are ethylene diamine adducts of such polyoxyethylene, polyoxypropylene block polymers available under the tradename Tetronic<sup>TM</sup> (BASF Wyandotte Corp.), including poloxamine 1107 (Tetronic 1107) having a molecular weight from about 7,500 to about 27,000 wherein at least 40 weight percent of said adduct is poly(oxyethylene). Other suitable non-ionic surfactants include for example polyethylene glycol esters of fatty acids, e.g. coconut, polysorbate, polyoxyethylene or polyoxypropylene ethers of higher alkanes (C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>18</sub>), polysorbate 20 available under the trademark Tween® 20 (Sigma Aldrich Company, St. Louis, Missouri), polyoxyethylene (23) lauryl ether available under the tradename Brij® 35 (Sigma Aldrich Company), polyoxyethylene (40) stearate available under the tradename Myrj® 52 (Sigma Aldrich Company) and

polyoxyethylene (25) propylene glycol stearate available under the tradename Atlas® G 2612 (Sigma Aldrich Company).

Another useful class of surfactants are the hydroxyalkylphosphonates, such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,858,937 (Richards et al.), and available under the tradename Dequest® (Montsanto Co., St. Louis, Missouri).

Amphoteric surfactants suitable for use in compositions according to the present invention include materials of the type offered commercially under the tradename Miranol™ (Rhodia HPCII, Cranbury, New Jersey). Another useful class of amphoteric surfactants is exemplified by cocoamidopropyl betaine, commercially available from various sources.

Various other ionic as well as amphoteric and anionic surfactants suitable for in the invention can be readily ascertained, in view of the foregoing description, from *McCutcheon's Detergents and Emulsifiers*, North American Edition, McCutcheon Division, MC Publishing Co., Glen Rock, NJ 07452 and the *CTFA International Cosmetic Ingredient Handbook*, Published by The Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association, Washington, D.C.

Preferably, the surfactants, when present, are employed in a total amount from about 0.01 to about 15 weight percent, preferably 0.1 to 5.0 weight percent, and most preferably 0.1 to 1.5 weight percent.



As an illustration of the present invention, several examples are provided below. These examples serve only to further illustrate aspects of the present invention and should not be construed as limiting the invention.

#### **Example 1.**

A series of 10-ml test solutions, listed in the following table, were prepared. Each solution included saline and 20mM of buffering agent as identified in Table 1 below. To each test solution was added 1mg/ml of hen egg lysozyme as well as a phosphate buffered saline (PBS) control. The test solutions were mixed slowly with a stir bar until the lysozyme was incorporated into the solutions. Five ml of each lysozyme-containing test solution was retained as the unheated control. The remaining 5 ml of each lysozyme-containing test solution were placed in glass lens vials, capped with silicone stoppers and incubated in a shaking water bath at 80°C, 40 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 1 hour. These heating conditions are sufficient to denature the lysozyme, absent a stabilization effect provided by the buffering agents.

The vials were allowed to come to ambient temperature before testing. A 0.00025g/ml suspension of *M. luteus* was prepared from lyophilized cells in PBS. The suspension was continually mixed on a stir plate during the testing period to prevent the suspension from settling.

For each set of test solutions, the following were tested (sample): a heated lysozyme-containing test solution ("lysozyme+heat"); an unheated lysozyme-containing test solution ("lysozyme/no heat"); and a test solution without lysozyme ("no lysozyme"). One ml of each sample was placed into a glass test tube to which 9 ml of *M. luteus* suspension was added and vortexed. A 1-ml sub-sample was placed into a disposable cuvette and evaluated on a UV-vis spectrophotometer at 450nm. This procedure was performed for each sample at 0, 5 and 10 minutes. Each of the solutions was evaluated in triplicate. Each of the three optical density measurements from the triplicate samples was averaged. The resulting mean value for the 5 and 10 minute time points was used to determine the Percentage Change at the 5 and 10 minute time points.

As can be seen in the table, the compositions containing tromethamine were generally more effective at stabilizing the protein against denaturation. Thus, these compositions are expected to reduce the amount of denatured protein available to bind to a contact lens surface, noting that native protein is removed from a contact lens relatively easily and not particularly irritating to the eye, whereas denatured protein is opaque, adheres tenaciously to a contact lens surface, and leads to eye irritation and discomfort.

**Table 1**

		<b>Time</b>			<b>Percent</b>	
<b>Change</b>						
<b><u>Solution</u></b>	<b><u>Treatment</u></b>	<b><u>0 Min</u></b>	<b><u>5 Min</u></b>	<b><u>10 Min</u></b>	<b><u>5 Min</u></b>	<b><u>10 Min</u></b>
<b>Borate</b>	lysozyme/no heat	0.619	0.053	0.034	91.44	94.51
	lysozyme+heat	<b>0.872</b>	<b>0.681</b>	<b>0.390</b>	<b>21.90</b>	<b>55.28</b>
	no lysozyme	0.853	0.853	0.854	0.00	-0.12
<b>Phosphate</b>	lysozyme/no heat	0.634	0.052	0.029	91.80	95.43
	lysozyme+heat	<b>0.861</b>	<b>0.858</b>	<b>0.852</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>1.05</b>
	no lysozyme	0.856	0.852	0.854	0.47	0.23
<b>Tris</b>	lysozyme/no heat	0.654	0.048	0.028	92.66	95.72
	lysozyme+heat	<b>0.810</b>	<b>0.154</b>	<b>0.117</b>	<b>80.99</b>	<b>85.56</b>
	no lysozyme	0.859	0.854	0.854	0.58	0.58
<b>Dequest</b>	lysozyme/no heat	0.629	0.051	0.032	91.89	94.91
	lysozyme+heat	<b>0.857</b>	<b>0.848</b>	<b>0.842</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.75</b>
	no lysozyme	0.852	0.850	0.848	0.23	0.47
<b>Citrate</b>	lysozyme/no heat	0.654	0.049	0.030	92.51	95.41
	lysozyme+heat	<b>0.877</b>	<b>0.851</b>	<b>0.785</b>	<b>2.96</b>	<b>10.49</b>
	no lysozyme	0.857	0.850	0.850	0.82	0.82

Table 1 - Continued

		Time			Percent	
Change						
<u>Solution</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>0 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>
Citrate +	lysozyme/no heat	0.611	0.057	0.037	90.67	93.94
Phosphate	lysozyme+heat	0.864	0.839	0.827	2.89	4.28
	no lysozyme	0.852	0.849	0.856	0.35	-0.47
Citrate +	lysozyme/no heat	0.602	0.050	0.033	91.69	94.52
Borate	lysozyme+heat	0.854	0.817	0.785	4.33	8.08
	no lysozyme	0.848	0.844	0.846	0.47	0.24
Borate +	lysozyme/no heat	0.564	0.051	0.036	90.96	93.62
Tris	lysozyme+heat	0.836	0.216	0.167	74.16	80.02
	no lysozyme	0.847	0.841	0.843	0.71	0.47
Phosphate +	lysozyme/no heat	0.598	0.050	0.031	91.64	94.82
Borate	lysozyme+heat	0.847	0.841	0.838	0.71	1.06
	no lysozyme	0.848	0.852	0.847	-0.47	0.12
Tris +	lysozyme/no heat	0.575	0.048	0.030	91.65	94.78
Dequest	lysozyme+heat	0.846	0.605	0.349	29.98	59.61
	no lysozyme	0.830	0.843	0.843	-1.57	-1.57

Table 1 - Continued

		Time			Percent	
Change						
<u>Solution</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>0 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>
M. Luteus +	no lysozyme	0.836	0.849	0.838	-1.56	-0.24
PBS – Control						

**Examples 2 and 3.**

The following are representative compositions of the present invention. The subject compositions identified as Example 2 and Example 3 in Table 2 below, were prepared according to the following method. The non-polymeric components – such as sodium borate, tromethamine (Tris), sodium chloride, glycerin, EDTA and sorbic acid – are added sequentially to a volume of heat water (about 50°C) that amounts to about 70-85% of the final batch volume. This addition is done under constant agitation, and each component is allowed to dissolve or disperse before adding the next component. Subsequently, the polymeric components – such as the cationic cellulose polymer, poloxamer, and poloxamine – are added sequentially under agitation, ensuring adequate dispersion of each polymer. The resulting solution is mixed until complete dissolution is achieved. The batch is cooled under agitation to room temperature. The pH is adjusted to about 7.0 by incrementally adding 1N NaOH or 1N HCl, and then the final volume is achieved by adding water (at 20-30°C) and mixing for at least 15 minutes.

**Table 2**

Ingredients (w/w%)	Example 2	Example 3
Sodium Borate	0.134	0.134
Triethanolamine	0.121	0.121
Glycerin	1	1
Tetronic 1107	1	1
Pluronic F127	2	2
EDTA	0.05	0.05
NaCl	0.38	0.38
Sorbic Acid	0.165	0.165
UCARE® Polymer	-	0.02
Purified water	q.s to 100	

Compositions identified as Example 2 and Example 3 in Table 2 were tested according to the procedure described in the Example 1. As shown by the test data set forth below in the Table 3, the lens drop solutions containing tromethamine were very effective at stabilizing the protein against denaturation.

**Table 3**

<u>Solution</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Time</u>			<u>Percent Change</u>	
		<u>0 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>	<u>5 Min</u>	<u>10 Min</u>
Example 2	lysozyme/no heat	0.798	0.076	0.055	92.42	94.51
	lysozyme+heat	0.942	0.146	0.088	85.47	91.29
	no lysozyme	1.007	1.008	1.005	-0.07	0.17
Example 3	lysozyme/no heat	0.789	0.125	0.100	87.67	90.08
	lysozyme+heat	0.942	0.185	0.146	81.74	85.56
	no lysozyme	1.011	1.014	1.014	-0.23	-0.26
M. Luteus +	no lysozyme	0.978	0.974	0.973	0.44	0.55
PBS – Control						

**Example 4.**

Compositions identified as Example 2 and Example 3 in Table 2 were further evaluated for protein deposition prevention ability against phosphate buffer using image analysis. The results of the evaluation are set forth below in Table 4. For each composition tested, five Group I lenses and five Group IV lenses were prepared in accordance with the following method.

The lenses were removed from their packaging and placed in a beaker of PBS where they remained for approximately 5 minutes. The

lenses were then removed and blotted to remove any excess liquid and placed in the test composition for approximately 2 minutes. The lenses were then blotted and placed into glass lens vials with 5 ml of 1mg/ml lysozyme in PBS and closed with silicone stoppers. The test lenses were deposited in accordance with the following method. Group IV lenses were processed in a water bath at 40 revolutions per minute (rpm), 37°C for 1 hour. The lenses remained in the bath at 40 rpm while the temperature ramped up to 80°C (approximately 23 minutes). The lenses remained at 80°C at 40 rpm for an additional 20 minutes after which they were removed and allowed to come to room temperature. Group I lenses were processed in a water bath at 40 rpm, 80°C for 20 minutes. The lenses were removed and allowed to come to room temperature. The lenses were removed from the vials, rubbed and rinsed with PBS to remove any loosely deposited protein. The deposited Group I and Group IV lenses were then placed in "flat packs" with PBS and refrigerated until image analysis could be performed. Image analysis was performed on each lens and the image stored. The mean value and standard deviation was recorded. The following calculations were performed:

- Difference from Positive Control (PC) = Test (TG) Group Mean – PC Group Mean;
- Difference of Test Deposit (TD) from Negative Control (NC) = 255\*  
- Difference from PC;



- Difference of PC from NC =  $255^* - \text{PC Group Mean}$ ; and
- % of Deposit Prevented =  $1 - (\text{Difference of TD from NC} / \text{Difference of PC from NC}) \times 100$ .

**\*Note:** 255 is taken as the image analysis result for a clean lens without deposits.

The results summarized in the Table 4 below show that both test compositions exhibited a higher percentage of lysozyme deposit prevention with both Group I and Group IV lenses than did the PBS.

**Table 4**

Formulation	Lens	Percent of Deposit Prevention
Example 2	ClearView	29.09
	AcuVue	67.84
Example 3	ClearView	36.01
	AcuVue	81.71
PBS	ClearView	0
	AcuVue	0

Although various preferred embodiments have been illustrated, many other modifications and variations of the present invention are possible to the skilled practitioner. It is therefore understood that, within the scope of the claims, the present invention can be practiced other than as herein specifically described.

We claim:

1. A method comprising dispensing an aqueous composition in the eye of a contact lens wearer while a contact lens is worn on the eye, said composition comprising:  
tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent or reduce protein denaturation on the contact lens; and a demulcent.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition further comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of a preservative, a buffering agent, a chelating agent, an osmolality adjusting agent, and a surfactant.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition comprises a non-polymeric demulcent.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the composition comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of glycerin and propylene glycol.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition comprises a polymeric demulcent.

6. The method of claim 3, wherein the composition comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of polyvinyl alcohol, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, a cellulose derivative and polyethylene glycol.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the composition comprises a cationic cellulose polymer.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition comprises a non-polymeric demulcent and a cellulose derivative.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the cellulose derivative includes a cationic cellulose polymer.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition comprises:  
at least 0.05 weight percent of tromethamine; and  
0.01 to about 5 weight percent of a demulcent.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition comprises:

at least 0.05 weight percent of tromethamine;

0.1 to 5 weight percent of at least one non-polymeric demulcent;

0.01 to 2 weight percent of a chelating agent; and

a buffering agent selected from the group consisting borate buffers, phosphate buffers and citrate buffers.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the composition further comprises a preservative.

13. The method of claim 11, wherein the composition further comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of poloxamer and poloxamine surfactants.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the composition comprises 0.01 to 2 weight percent of a cationic cellulose polymer.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein the composition is distilled in the form of drops.

16. A method of preventing deposition of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn on the eye, said method comprising dispensing in the eye an aqueous composition that comprises tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent denaturation of proteins in the eye.

17. A method of reducing deposition of denatured proteins on a contact lens while worn on the eye, said method comprising dispensing in the eye an aqueous composition that comprises tromethamine in an amount effective to reduce the amount of denatured protein denaturation on the contact lens.

18. A composition comprising tromethamine in an amount effective to prevent or reduce protein denaturation on the contact lens and a demulcent, said composition having a pH and osmolality suitable for instilling the composition in the eye.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/39523

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 7 A61L12/08 A61L12/14

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, BIOSIS

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	"Allergan Complete, Lubricating and Rewetting Drops" 'Online! 1997 XP002236680 Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: <a href="http://www.drugstore.com/qxp12307_333181_s_espider/allergan/complete_lubricating_and_rewetting_drops.htm">http://www.drugstore.com/qxp12307_333181_s_espider/allergan/complete_lubricating_and_rewetting_drops.htm</a> > 'retrieved on 2003-03-28! paragraph 'INGREDIENTS! paragraph 'DIRECTIONS!	1-18
X	US 6 274 133 B1 (HU ZHENZE ET AL) 14 August 2001 (2001-08-14) column 3, line 25-37 column 5, line 6-44 column 7, line 16-22 column 8, line 23-50 --- -/-	1-18



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

\*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

\*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

\*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

\*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

\*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

31 March 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

16/04/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Jochheim, J

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 02/39523

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 817 277 A (MOWREY-MCKEE MARY ET AL) 6 October 1998 (1998-10-06) column 1, line 44-55 column 2, line 24-30 column 2, line 36 -column 3, line 9 column 3, line 20 -column 4, line 8; claims 1,5-8,11-13; example 3 -----	1-18
Y	US 5 858 346 A (CURRIE JAMES P ET AL) 12 January 1999 (1999-01-12) column 4, line 32-38 column 7, line 5-12 column 9, line 45-59; claim 6 -----	1-18

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1992)



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 02/39523

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6274133	B1	14-08-2001	AU 1834100 A WO 0037048 A1	12-07-2000 29-06-2000
US 5817277	A	06-10-1998	US 5593637 A US 5500186 A US 5422073 A US 5756045 A AU 653768 B2 AU 9106091 A BR 9107284 A CA 2098299 A1 EP 0564510 A1 EP 0766970 A2 FI 932932 A HU 65710 A2 IL 100487 A JP 2875887 B2 JP 6504044 T KR 127768 B1 MX 9102828 A1 NO 932340 A PT 99893 A ,B RU 2067456 C1 SG 49774 A1 TR 25734 A WO 9211876 A1	14-01-1997 19-03-1996 06-06-1995 26-05-1998 13-10-1994 17-08-1992 27-09-1994 28-06-1992 13-10-1993 09-04-1997 24-06-1993 28-07-1994 18-06-1996 31-03-1999 12-05-1994 26-12-1997 01-06-1992 25-06-1993 31-12-1992 10-10-1996 15-06-1998 01-09-1993 23-07-1992
US 5858346	A	12-01-1999	AU 734735 B2 AU 6976398 A BR 9808755 A CN 1255068 T EP 0983093 A1 JP 2001526794 T WO 9850084 A1	21-06-2001 27-11-1998 11-07-2000 31-05-2000 08-03-2000 18-12-2001 12-11-1998

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**